

2nd Transnational Project Meeting: “Methodology and toolkit”


Ascoli Piceno, November 22-23, 2022

Session 4: Technical and Scientific Board and Coordination Committee meetings


Technical and Scientific Board meeting

Salvatore Carlucci, Ioanna Kyprianou


Evaluation of R1 (Catalogue of mitigation and adaptation tools)



Evaluation of C1



Evaluation of C1



Plan for evaluation of R2/R3 (local workshops)

Review by students: Questionnaires derived from R1 and the workshop material, before and after the workshops


Question	Answer 1	Answer 2	Answer 3	Answer 4	Answer 5
Climate change research is a topic suitable for examination by :	environmental and building specialists	global economy specialists	local economy specialists	a range of specialists including (but not exclusive to) ecologists, economists, policy analysts, engineers,	a range of natural science specialists including (but not exclusive to) ecologists, engineers, architects and physicists
Mitigation is:	the action of reducing the severity, seriousness, or painfulness of negative social interactions	a series of actions inspired, supported, or literally copied by nature	a human intervention to reduce emissions or enhance the sinks of greenhouse gases	the impacts of climate change that would occur after adaptation	the capacity of interconnected social, economic and ecological systems to cope with a hazardous event, trend or disturbance, responding or reorganising in ways that maintain their essential function, identity and structure

Plan for evaluation of R2/R3 (local workshops)

Any suggestions?

Result ID	R1				
Organisation					
Name (optional)					
Please indicate your opinions with an X	Strongly disagree	Disagree	Neither agree nor disagree	Agree	Strongly agree
The deliverable has clear objectives					
The deliverable addresses the stated objectives adequately					
The deliverable has a clear structure					
The deliverable has a straightforward narrative					
The deliverable has clear conclusions					
Use of English language is proficient (spelling, syntax, and grammar)					
Comments					

Moving forward



- Have you identified problematic aspects in the written material / physical meeting guidelines?
- Do you have any ideas to propose in order to change some review procedures?

Onwards and upwards

